ESPON Contact Point Luxembourg

Welcome to the 3. ECP - Workshop Information and Progress Meeting

14.01.2005 Ministère de l'Intérieur et de l'Aménagement du territoire 19, rue Beaumont, 1219 Luxembourg





ESPON Workshop Programm

09.30		Welcome – Romain Diederich (DATUR)
09.45		ESPON 2006-Programme – Peter Mehlbye (ESPON Co-ordination Unit)
10.00		ESPON Bedeutung für Europa und Luxemburg Thiemo W. Eser (DATUR)
10.30		ESPON Contact Points - Aufgaben Christian Muschwitz (TAURUS)
	10.45	Kaffeepause
11.00		Entwicklungen der ESPON Kernprojekte Christian Muschwitz (TAURUS)
	12.30	Mittagspause





ESPON Workshop Programm

13.30 Ausgewählte Ergebnisse weiterer Projekte Christian Muschwitz / Michaela Gensheimer (TAURUS)

- 14.30 ——Kaffeepause ——
- 14.45 Ausblick auf die nächsten ESPON Runden Christian Muschwitz / Michaela Gensheimer (TAURUS) Diskussion

16.00 _____ Ende der Veranstaltung _____







WELCOME

ROMAIN DIEDERICH (DATUR)







ESPON and Interreg IIIc PETER MEHLBYE (ESPON DIRECTOR)







ESPON

Relevance for Europe and Luxembourg THIEMO W. ESER (DATUR)







ESPON

Contact Points – Tasks and responsibilities CHRISTIAN MUSCHWITZ (TAURUS)





ESPON Contact Point Luxembourg

Christian Muschwitz

- Spatial Planer
- TAURUS Institute at the University of Trier
- Lecturer at University of Trier
 Chair of Prof. Dr. Heiner Monheim
 Departement of Applied Geography / Spatial Planning





9 Thematic projects

- Project 1.1.1 'Polycentrism'
- Project 1.1.2 'Urban-rural'
- Project 1.1.3 'Enlargement & Polycentrism'
- Project 1.1.4 'Demographic trends'
- Project 1.2.1 'Transport trends'
- Project 1.2.2 'Telecom trends'
- Project 1.3.1 'Natural hazards'
- Project 1.3.2 'Natural heritage'
- Project 1.3.3 'Cultural heritage'













11 Policy impact projects

- Project 2.1.1 'Transport Policy impact'
- Project 2.1.2 'R&D Policy impact'
- Project 2.1.3 'CAP impact'
- Project 2.1.4 'Energy'
- Project 2.1.5 'Fisheries'
- Project 2.2.1 'Structural Funds impact'
- Project 2.2.2 'Enlargement, Acquis, Pre-Aid, Phare/Tacis'
- Project 2.2.3 'Urban in Structural Funds'
- Project 2.3.1 'ESDP impact'
- Project 2.3.2 'Governance'
- Project 2.4.2 'Zoom in'





4 Coordinating + cross thematic projects

- Project 3.1 'Coordination'
- Project 3.2 'Scenarios'
- Project 3.3 'Lisbon strategy'
- Project 3.4.1 'Europe in the World'





ESPON – Contents in general

- ESPON Basics...
 - Data Navigator
 - Core Indicators and Data Base
 - Spatial Concepts
 - Cartography, Mapping
 - Typologies
 - Policy Recommendations





What is an ESPON Contact Point?



ECP - Network

- All participating countries named Contact Points
- ECPs are located at
 - departments of ministries,
 - official Institutions,
 - departments of universities,
 - private research institutes
- ECPs meet regularly in parallel to the ESPON seminars, which they also attend





INTERFACE

The ESPON Contact Points (ECPs) function as an interface between the ESPON programme and the national spatial research community.

OBSERVATION

Following closely the ESPON progress and results

COMMENTING

 Commenting the results of the Programme from a national perspective





PROMOTING

 Creating awareness and interest for the ESPON programme within the national scene

DATA NAVIGATOR

- Collecting <u>beforehand</u> the information about the existing data and data sources of each country
- ECP Luxembourg helps projects from the ESPON context with data enquiries
- Bridging if problems with data access occur





ESPON DATA NAVIGATOR





ESPON Data Navigator (I)

Inventory of national data collection

- Regional data, preferably for NUTS 3-regions
- Geographical scope: EU15 + 12 accession countries + candidate countries + neighbouring countries + Mediterranean bassin = 45 countries
- To be used as research instrument by ESPON projects in order to improve data collection for the common platform
 - Description of the data sets (e.g. features, structure)
 - References of the data sets (e.g. publications, contact)
- Outcome constantly updated published under <u>www.espon.lu</u>





ESPON Data Navigator (II)

Themes to be covered

- Spatial typologies, geography
- Population developments and movements
- Employment, unemployment, commuting and labour market
- Wealth, production, enterprises and investment
- Transport, telecommunication and information society
- Research and development
- Utilities and energy
- Household oriented infrastructure, e.g. health, education, vocational training, amenities
- Land use, settlement structure
- Environment, e.g. water, air, waste
- Agriculture, forestry and viticulture
- Social situation, income and expenses
- Housing, equipment, housing prices and market
- Cultural sites, e.g. theatres, arts, museums
- Tourism: demand, infrastructure, performance
- Public sector and Elections





ESPON Data Navigator (III)

Data Navigator Luxembourg

- Data scope:
 - ~ 400 data sets for regional data in Luxembourg
 - Main contributions by
 - Statec and official statistics,
 - Datur and
 - CEPS
 - Concise and extensive inventory of Luxembourg data collection





ESPON Data Navigator (IV)

Data Navigator Luxembourg

- Data quality:
 - Only little variations in data gathering methods and classifications
 - Variation in regional units, e.g. touristic regions
 - R&D data not fully developed
- Data availability:
 - Most data refer to NUTS 3-level = national level or NUTS 5-level = municipal level
 - Regional level (NUTS 4) not yet fully established
 - Data gaps mainly concerning the requirement of regional data

(e.g. on the fields of labour market, business activities, infrastructure, cultural inventories, telecommunication)





ESPON Data Navigator (V)

- Conclusions for the DATA NAVIGATOR
 - Data Navigator is a inventory for ESPON projects
 - But the demand of the ESPON projects goes far beyond: more European-wide harmonised data concerning e.g.
 - Data gathering methods and procedures
 - Definitions of data sets
 - Classifications of data, e.g. ISCED 97
 - Spatial completeness
 - Data storage
 - Long term objective to make proposals for EUROSTAT and NSIs







- Conclusions ESPON / ECPs
- ESPON Programme examines all major spatial themes
- The programme sees a clear functional division, ECPs are one important part
- ECPs have a broad variety of tasks to carry out
 - Provide different service and communication functions
 - Inform
 - Serve the transnational project groups
 - Carry the national perspective into the ESPON programme







Coffee Break – Kaffeepause







ESPON key projects – selected results





Key projects

- Key projects of the 1st ESPON round 2002-2004
 - 1.1.1 Polycentrism
 - 1.2.1 Urban –rural relations in Europe
 - Both projects delivered basic typologies for a common frame
 - 3.1 Integrated tools for spatial development
 - Project created a common ground maping, coordinating
 - Invented some very new tools
 - Invented a new picture of Europe as a whole







ESPON

Project 1.1.1

The Role, Specific Situation And Potentials Of Urban Areas As Nodes In A Polycentric Development





Polycentricity

4 basic dimensions can be distinguished:

- morphological:
 - settlement patterns, transport networks, urban structures
- functional socio-economic specialisation:
 - highly specialised small areas can be important nodes in the polycentric system
- accessibility in terms of transportation and ICT:
 - the possibility to integrate into networks forms an important part of polycentric activities, access to I&C networks seem to be of crucial importance
- co-operation and interaction:
 - the actual degree and effects of networking has hardly been investigated; local/regional networking as well as transnational networking seem to be important.





Polycentricity

- Ambiguous goal that is opposed to monocentricity as well as to total sprawl.
- Polycentricity seeks an optimum between (too much) concentration and the absence of concentration (sprawl).
- Polycentrism can be seen as a "bridging concept" between "economic growth and efficiency" and a "balanced development"
- This makes polycentricity fundamentally ambiguous, esp. when judged on different spatial levels.





	Feature / Functions	Measured variable
F1	Population	Population
F2	Industrial functions	Gross value added (sectors C-F)
F3	Tourism functions	Overnight stays in hotels (and similar)
F4	Transport functions	Airport (passengers), ports (container traffic)
F5	Knowledge functions	Location of University, number of students
F6	Decision-making centre	Location of TOP 500 companies
F7	Administrative functions	Administrative status of FUA (three different levels:
		 national capital; province/regional capital; a)
		no specific administrative status

On a national scale

Luxembourg is absolutely not polycentristic 80



Ball size is represents the population of capital urban agglomeration London 12.2 mill. Amsterdam 2,6 mill. Tallinn 0,5 mill. (*= not the largest urban agglomeration in the country)





- Functional Urban Areas (FUAs)
 - FUAs are commuting catchment areas or relevant counterparts, e.g. Greater London Area or the Ruhr Valley.
 - Their concrete dimensions, specific characteristics and impacts are not very well analysed.
 - There spatial role in the EU is not yet clear, e.g. it is not clear if they cope with the concept of polycentrism or if they hamper it.
 - FUAs can not be ignored, so a vital, fast and soundly analysis is needed.
 - FUAs are building blocks in the analysis of the ESPON project 1.1.1 for potential polycentric urban regions.





- International level FUA
 - population (urban region) 5% or more of national population
 - capital functions (administrative)
 - "Own" international airport (urban region not smaller than 500 000 inhabitants + airport more than 1 000 000 passengers 2001)
- National / transnational FUA
 - population (urban region) more than 200 000 inhabitants / core city population more than 2% of national value (no less than 100 000 inhabitants)
 - specific national function (according to experts)
- Regional FUA
 - population 50 000 to 200 000
 - specific regional function (according to experts)





Functional Urban Areas (FUAs)

- FUA population
 - 1,595 FUAs
 in EU 27+2
 - Two bananas: From England
 - to Italy
 - to Hungary





Total FUA population in FUAs with more than 20 000 inhabitants 2000-2001

250 000-1 million inhabitants
 50 000-250 000 inhabitants
 < 50 000 inhabitants

ESP N EUROPEAN SPATIAL PLANNING OBSERVATION NETWORK



Geographical Base: Eurostat GISCO

Origin of data: National Statistical Offices, National experts Source: Nordregio

FUAs

- Typology of FUAs
 - In the population based typology, Luxembourg is classified as an European FUA



Typology of Funtional Urban Areas (FUA's)

- Global
- European
- Transnational and national
- Regional and local





Typology of Functional Urban Areas (FUAs)

FUAs

- A typology of FUAs, based on 5 functions:
 - population
 - transport
 - manufacturing
 - no of students
 - head offices

76 MEGAs





- Transnational / national FUAs

Regional / local FUAs

National experts

FUAs

- In the functional typology
 - Luxembourg is classified as a MEGA



- Metropolitan European Growth Areas (MEGAs)
- Transnational / national FUAs
- Regional / local FUAs




The micro level

- Cities should be encouraged to co-operate and join forces, with the aim of improving their urban ranking in the national urban systems.
- Governance here, is a key issue when promoting collective action across administrative borders.
- National governments should to improve the framework for local governance, to create more robust policy frameworks and greater political commitment.
- Polycentricity at the regional level should be facilitated by structural fund regulations.





- The national and transnational meso level
 - Polycentricity is about the balance within the urban system.
 - EU can contribute to a more polycentric urban structure by agenda setting.
 - Spatial strategies primarily target at economic development.
 - Polycentrism is considered in only few countries and regions.
 - Observation of polycentricity of national urban systems is hampered by the differences in national definitions.
 - A pan-European definition of Functional Urban Areas should be developed and data at this territorial level collected.





- The *macro* level (EU)
 - Stimulate the development of zones of economic global integration beyond the Pentagon.
 - Polycentricity must build upon functional specialisation, not size.
 - Locate EU institutions in cities outside the Pentagon.
 - Use structural fund regulations to analyse urban structures.
 - Promote networking, develop common strategies covering several cities!
 - A policy for increased polycentristic and spatial balance will strengthen the already strongest urban regions.
 - Consequently, a European level polycentricity must build upon functional specialisation, rather than population size..







ESPON Project 1.1.2 Urban Rural Relations in Europe





Urban –rural relations

- Urban rural relations
 - Europe is very diverse, national categories of urban and rural do not work for the EU as a whole
 - Rural means something completely different e.g. in Netherlands or in Sweden.
 - A common denominator of all national definitions does also not work.
 - But insight is needed desperately how Europe can be categorized.
 - Relations between urban and rural are not explored yet on a EU level.





Urban-rural relations

- Urban-rural population by national classifications
- First proposal for typology





Geographical Base: Eurostat GISCO Origin of data: National Statistical Offices Source: Nordregio





Rurality:



Share of rural population, index country average = 100

High: > 110, medium: 90 - 110, low: < 90



Intermediate Densely populated Medium density Sparsely populated

Density Population density (inhabitants/km²) High: > 150, medium: 50 - 150, low: < 50

Predominantly rural

Densely populated Medium density Sparsely populated

Urban –rural relations

Luxembourg Characterized as rural intermediate, densely populated





NUTS 3 regions:





Predominantly rural Densely populated Medium density Sparsely populated

Density: Population density (inhabitants/km²) High: > 150, medium: 50 - 150, low: < 50



The content of this presentation does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the ESPON Monitoring Committee

High: > 110, medium: 90 - 110, low: < 90

Rurality:

TAURUS

Urban-rural relations

Typology 6 types of regions

Degree of **urban influence**, degree of **human intervention**

- Type 1: **19**% of the total area, **60**% of the total population, **72**% of the total GDP
- Urban types (1-3): 27% area, 69% pop., 78% GDP

Urban-rural typology, based on population density, FUA ranking and land cover

High urban influence, high human intervention High urban influence, medium human intervention High urban influence, low human intervention Low urban influence, high human intervention Low urban influence, medium human intervention Low urban influence, low human intervention no data





TAURUS

Urban-rural

 Luxembourg is characterized as region of high urban influence + high human intervention

Urban-rural typology, based on population density, FUA ranking and land cover

High urban influence, high human intervention High urban influence, medium human intervention High urban influence, low human intervention Low urban influence, high human intervention Low urban influence, medium human intervention Low urban influence, low human intervention no data







- Driving forces of urbanisation are....
 - **Demographic** change
 - *Structural* change of the economy
 - Behavioural patterns, life styles, images and ideas
 - Differential urbanisation: cyclical development (polarisation, polarisation reversal)





Rural restructuring

- The *post-productive* countryside:
 - quality food,
 - public recreational space,
 - space for housing,
 - paces of environmental protection





Recommendations

- The structural policies of the EU need to take into account the issue urban-rural relations
- The sectoral policies of the EU need to recognise the issue of urban-rural relations
- The *functional* urban-rural relations can and should be improved in a variety of ways by diversifying the economy







ESPON

Project 3.1

Integrated Tools For An European Spatial Development





ESPON 3.1 Integrated tools

- Project functioned as the interface between
 - MC -CU TPGs ECPs
- Project turned out to be extremely relevant for all the projects of the 1st ESPON phase...
 - 3.1 cartography.... delivered the common map default
 - 3.1 data base provided the common data default
 - 3.1 gave context orientated guidance
- Turned out to be inventive and even a bit provocative
 - Developed some new tools,
 - HyperAtlas,
 - Web based GIS
 - Developed a Regional classification of Europe RCE





ESPON 3.1 Cartography

- ESPON maps are based on a common map layer, which is used as a default,
- All projects are obliged to match this default.
- But despite of this obligation, the TPGs are free to work with different technologies: map based GIS, stationary GIS tools etc.
- And all projects can decide to use this default only for parts of the EU 27 + perspective, e.g. in case of case study work.





ESPON 3.1 Cartography







ESPON 3.1 Map Tool







ESPON 3.1 Core Indicators

- ESPON 3.1 –responsible for establishing and compiling the ESPON Core Indicators
- Main features:
 - Number of indicators (103 in 12/2004)
 - Spatial Scope: mostly (90%) EU 27 +
 - Regional level: varies between NUTS 2 NUTS 5
 - Temporal scope: differs between 2000 (point), 1990-2001
 - Provides a common ground for any kind of spatial analysis
 - Guarantees a common quality standard





ESPON 3.1 Data Base

tial typologies	Thereset	MITS 5		13021001	MITS 2	
	Employment and secto	structu NUTS 0	33 Unemployment by age	group 98-01 N2rM		
ulation	Structure of persons e	mployed NUTS_1	33_Unemployment-rate_by	agegroup_98-01_N	2iM	
oloyment and Labour alth and production	Market Unemployment	NUTS_2 NUTS_3				
erprises and Investm	ients					
nsport rearch and Developm	ent I	× >				
	SELECT RECORD by de	uble-clicking				
Variable code:	Variable nam	ie:	Regional reference:	Time reference:	Source of dat +	
UNR02501N2	Unemployment rate over 25 yea	rs	NUTS 2	2001	BBR	
UNR02598N2	Unemployment rate over 25 yea	rs	NUTS 2	1998	BBR	
UNR02599N2	Unemployment rate over 25 yea	rs	NUTS 2	1999	BBR	
UNRTOON2	Unemployment rate total		NUTS 2	2000	BBR	
UNRT01N2	Unemployment rate total		NUTS 2	2001	BBR	
UNRT98N2	Unemployment rate total		NUTS 2	1998	BBR	
UNRT99N2	Unemployment rate total		NUTS 2	1999	BBR	
UNRU2500N2	Unemployment rate uner 25 yea	rs	NUTS 2	2000	BBR	
UNRU2501N2	Unemployment rate under 25 ye	ars	NUTS 2	2001	BBR	
UNRU2598N2	Unemployment rate under 25 ye	ars	NUTS 2	1998	BBR	
UNRU2599N2	Unemployment rate uner 25 yea	rs	NUTS 2	1999	BBR	
tensatz: 14 4	13 • • • • • van 20	4			•	
Delete	Check Run Exce				Close	
		_				

TAURUS

ESPON 3.1 (web based) GIS

- Allows to combine a vast number of indicators and themes
- Allows to analyse on different spatial levels
- Allows to combine the different ESPON topics...
- Various mapping and analysis functions





ESPON 3.1 (web based) GIS



ESP N EUROPEAN SPATIAL PLANNING OBSERVATION NETWORK



ESPON 3.1 Hyper Atlas

Spatial Analysis

- Innovative (new cartographic representations)
- Interactive (choice between thousands of possible solutions)
- Operational (generation of complete Atlas for political decision)
- Computer Science
 - Multiplatform (can be used on autonomous PC or on the web)
 - Powerful (can be adapted for large databases NUTS 5)
 - Open (kernel software is protected by free license)





🕏 HyperCarte - Multiscalar Territorial Analysis _ 7 🗙 File View Tools Help Copen Workspace 🔚 Save Workspace Build Report Contexts for the Deviations Area and Zoning Indicator • 0,083 Study Area: UE29 UE15 • Numerator: Unemployed in 2000 • Global: Elementary Zoning: Nuts_2 Active population in 2000 • Nuts_0 • • Denominator: Medium: -Numerator / Denominator Local: Contiguity Ratio: 🖳 Synthesis Area and Zoning 🗄 🗢 Numerator Denominator 🔶 Ratio Global Deviation Medium Deviation Local Deviation Unemployed in 2000 / Active population in 2000 Options Explanation Legend (N) 0,310 (68) 0,118 (68) 0,073 (68) 0,045 (69) 0,015 Details RHONE-ALPES Unit Numerator 210 000 Denominator 2 470 000 Ratio 0,085 - 🖾 + 0 428 855 km Deviation(s)

- ESPON 3.1 wanted to provide an overview of the actual spatial situation in Europe as a whole on NUTS 3 level
- A cross-sectoral analysis that combines all relevant themes
- 3.1 developed a method to combine the themes and indicators from the whole ESPON scope
- This method is called Regional Classification of Europe RCE





From every theme of spatial relevance, core indicators were picked

Theme and indicators	Description	Polarity
Economy		
GDP per capita	In PPS	
Expenditure on R&D	Share of GDP	+
R&D Business Enterprise Sector	BES R&D personnel per 1.000 active person	+
GDP per capita growth	In Euro	+
Firms with own website	Proportion of all firms	+
Employment in tertiary sector	Share of total employment	+
Employment in primary sector	Share of total employment	-
Labour market		
Unemployment	Unemployment rate 2001	-
Development of unemployment	Change 1998-2001 in percent	-
Youth unemployment	Unemployed < 25 years per 1.000 inh. 15-<25 years	-
Labour force replacement ratio	Population ages 10-19 / population ages 55-64	+
R&D personnel	Total R&D personnel per 1.000 active person	+
High educated population	Highly educated population / total educated pop.	+
Employment density	Number of persons employed per km ²	+
Internet users	Share of all inhabitants	+
Demography		
Population density	Number of persons per km ²	+
Ageing	Share of population in the ages over 65 in percent	-
Reproduction potential	20-29 years in 2020 per 20-29 years in 2000	+
Population growth	Change 1995-2000 in %	+





 The indicators were discussed with the TPGs
and classes and thresholds were defined

Environment		
Artificial surface	Share of total area (Corine)	-
Natural surface	Share of total area (Corine)	+
Agriculture intensity	Output/input ratio	-
Hazards		
Flood events	Regional average number of flood events	-
Winter storms	Probability of having winter storms	-
Risk of radioactive contamination	Distance from nuclear power plants	-
Earthquake hazard potential	Mean value of grid points inside NUTS 2 boundaries	-
Volcanoes	Number of all volcanoes in NUTS 2 area	-
Oil hazards	Average of 3 indicators (harbours, pipeline, refineries)	-
Accessibility		
Potential accessibility	By road	+
Potential accessibility	By rail	+
Potential accessibility	By air	+
Potential accessibility	Multimodal	+
Spatial structure		
Settlement structure	Count of types with population=0	-
Concentration of population	Change of region 's share of EU 27+2 pop. in percent	
Concentration of GDP	tion of GDP Change of region 's share of EU 27+2 GDP in percent	
Time to market meso-scale	market meso-scale Accessibility by rail and road, weighted by pop.	
Time to market macro-scale	ime to market macro-scale Accessibility by rail and road, weighted by pop.	
Functional Urban Areas Share of population living in FUA		+





RCE – regional classification of Europe



The sketch shows the way from data to classification





Regional classification of Europe - overall performance

RCE

Result...

A distinct picture of Europe which shows some familiar aspects as well as some unexpected details





п

re

RCE – regional classification of Europe



© EuroGeographics Association for administrative boundaries Regional Level: NUTS 2 Origin of data: Eurostat, National Statistical Offices, ESPON 3.1 Source: ESPON Data Base

Zoom-in without scale





© EuroGeographics Association for administrative boundaries Regional Level: NUTS 2 Origin of data: Eurostat, National Statistical Offices, ESPON 3.1 Source: ESPON Data Base

Zoom-in without scale



Oursell ventile a DCC	Reporting:
	per inhabitant
Luxembourg	Inner London
Berkshire, Bucks and Oxfordshire	Région Bruxelles-capitale
Inner London	Luxembourg
Utrecht	Hamburg
Darmstadt	Oslo Og Akershus
Île de France	Île de France
Mittelfranken	Oberbayern
Suisse Du Nord-Est	Zürich
Bedfordshire, Hertfordshire	Wien
Zürich	Darmstadt
Stuttgart	Uusimaa (suuralue)
Uusimaa (suuralue)	Utrecht
Karlsruhe	Bremen
Wien	Trentino-Alto Adige
Oslo Og Akershus	Åland
Suisse Centrale	Lombardia
Flevoland	Suisse Du Nord-Est
Région Bruxelles-capitale	Stockholm
Surrey, East and West Sussex	Stuttgart
Rheinhessen-Pfalz	Emilia-Romagna
Noord-Holland	Noord-Holland
	Overall ranking RCE Luxembourg Berkshire, Bucks and Oxfordshire Inner London Utrecht Darmstadt Île de France Mittelfranken Suisse Du Nord-Est Bedfordshire, Hertfordshire Zürich Stuttgart Uusimaa (suuralue) Karlsruhe Wien Oslo Og Akershus Suisse Centrale Flevoland Région Bruxelles-capitale Surrey, East and West Sussex Rheinhessen-Pfalz Noord-Holland





Basic results

- Strong guidance for the other projects was provided
- A solid base for spatial planning on an EU level was developed: Data, Mapping defaults etc.
- Some very innovative tools were invented and tested
- Some new and innovative analysis strategies were established







Lunch break – Mittagspause





Afternoon project session

- Presentations are shorter
- A selected variety of projects is presented
- Only selected results are highlighted very shortly
- Results for Luxembourg are highlighted
- For a deeper insight, please visit: www.espon.lu







ESPON

Project 1.1.4

Spatial Effects Of Demographic Trends And Migration





Demographic trends

- Main typology
- Population change 1996-1999, six types
- Luxembourg:
 - type 1 red total evolution >0 migratory >0 natural >0



Origin of data: EU15 and CC's: Eurostat, Norway and Switzerland: National Statistics Offices Source: ESPON Database






- Natural population growth potential 2000/2020
- Luxembourg: type 1 (light brown, Europe 29 average or better)



TAURUS



1 STD deviation or "worse"

- Ageing labour force 2000
- Luxembourg: type 1 (light brown, Europe 29 average or better)







- Labour force replacement ratio 2000
- Luxembourg: type 2 (yellow, less than ½ STD "negative" deviation)





- First results: Natural population development and ageing
 - Population change more driven by migration than natural population development
 - Half of the regions have a natural population decrease
 - Ageing more a function of earlier out-migration than low fertility
 - No connection between ageing and total population change
 - No connection between ageing and net-migration today exception the Nordic countries
 - In Southern Europe ageing and low fertility reinforce each other; consequences for the natural population development (reproduction potential)





- First results: Migration
 - Borders a hampering factor
 - European growth zones in-migration
 - Economic discrepancy still a driving force but weaker than before
 - Suburbanisation is vital but...
 - Youngsters to the metro areas (studies, urban life-styles)
 - middle-aged and elderly people to the "periphery" (environmental factors)
 - Rural exodus still important
 - In the Nordic countries and Eastern Europe movements from peripheral areas to metropolitan areas are still of great importance
 - "Monocentric" development at the European level "polycentric" development at the regional level





- First results: fertility, migration and depopulation
 - Most negative development:
 - most sparsely populated areas in France, Spain and Portugal
 - northern and southern parts of eastern Europe
 - peripheral regions of Sweden and Finland
 - Very strong depopulation is found in:
 - Territories in countries with very low fertility rates and outmigration
 - Analyses and maps based on both direct and indirect depopulation indicators





- Policy recommendations
 - Close the gap in living conditions (in a wider sense) between regions and nations
 - Stimulate regional enlargement larger local labour markets
 - Better accessibility
 - To get rid of the labour shortage stimulate higher female labour force participation
 - Stimulate child care higher birth rates
 - Don't hamper mobility mobility is a lubricant in economic development and transformation







ESPON

Project 1.3.1

Spatial Effects And Management Of Natural And Technological Hazards In General And In Relation To Climate Change





- Fields of research and analysis (sample)
 - Natural hazards
 - Floods
 - Landslides/avalanches
 - Forest fires
 - Extreme precipitation
 - Extreme temperatures
 - Technological hazards
 - Nuclear power plants
 - Production plants of hazardous goods
 - Hazardous waste deposits
- Not all fields can be elaborated due to lack or unaccessibility of data





Development of indicators – the case of the flood events

Natural and	Driving	Pressure	State	Impact	Response
technologic al hazards	forces Indicators of influence factors on hazards and damage potentials	Indicators of hazards and damage potentials	Indicators of spatial risk / spatial security	Indicators of disaster	Indicators of disaster response / risk management (indicators of prevention, mitigation, preparedness, response, recovery)
Floods	 Growth of population and GDP in areas that have been flooded Increase of factors that influence floods (e.g. settlement extension, climate change) 	 Areas that have been flooded Population density/GDP (in areas that potentially can be flooded) 	Combined indicator of Population density/GDP and flooded areas according to flood frequency since 1985	Clasification of flooded areas according to flood frequency since 1985	• E.g. Building restrictions (retention areas) / safety measures in flooded areas





Dimensions of vulnerability

- Economic
- Social
- Ecological
- Indicators for messuring the damage potential
 - Population density
 - GDP per capita
 - Dependency ratio
 - Education rate





Large river flood hazard in Europe (NUTS 3)

Natural and technological hazards

Large river flood hazards in Europe (NUTS 3)

Luxembourg: Moderate flood hazard intensity





Floo	d hazard intensity
	Very low
	Low
	Moderate
	High
	Very high
	Non ESPON space

Origin of the data: © EuroGeographics Association for the administrative boundaries Large flood areas © Dartmouth Flood Observatory Flood areas © ESA - Earth observation- Earth online Source: ESPON Data Base

This map shows the hazard intensity based on average number of large flood events on NUTS 3 level during 1987-2002. Each NUTS3 region has been given an average of the large flood event that fall inside it. To the first class "Very low hazard intensity" only the regions without large flood events are included.

Flood risk in Europe (NUTS 3)

Luxembourg: Relatively high flood risk, belonging to category 7.





Origin of the data: © EuroGeographics Association for the administrative boundaries Large flood areas @Dartmouth Flood Observatory Flood areas ©ESA - Earth observation- Earth online

Typology of the regions



Source: ESPON Data Base

Nuclear power plants in Europe (NUTS 3)

Luxembourg: 4-5 reactors



TAURUS



Luxembourg: relatively high degree of vulnerability, belonging to category IV.





- Outcome of the project:
 Risk mitigation planning guide (sample)
 - To minimize the impacts of hazards effects
 - To review the hazards of the respective area (region, municipality)
 - To establish goals and objectives
 - To review possible approaches to reduce risk
 - To provide a background document (on the regional level) for local action





Policy recommendations (EU level)

- Better inclusion of risks related to natural and technological hazards in EU policies.
- More emphasis on prevention and vulnerability reduction through spatial planning.
- Deliberate use of Stuctural Funds for risk management
- Establish a European Emergency Management Agency (EEMA) for coordinating European risk management efforts.





- Policy recommendations (national, INTERREG)
 - Implementation of the Strategic Environmental Assessment directive (2001/42/EC) should be ensured by member states.
 - Creation of governance networks to address risk management in regions with special environmental characteristics and related challenges.
 - Improve integration and co-operation between spatial planning experts and civil protection authorities.
 - Transnational INTERREG areas with common ecological denominators should be used as 'breeding and testing' grounds for meso-level risk management programmes.





- Policy recommendations (regional level)
 - Adopt and implement regional mitigation plans, allowing for "subsidiarity".
 - Adopt measures in the new Thematic Strategy on the Urban Environment.
 - Enhance horizontal co-operation between regions and urban areas (e.g. through networks such as Interreg initiatives, EUROCITIES, URBACT etc.) in the fields risk management and civil protection.
 - Enhance public awareness of hazards and public participation in risk reduction efforts.







ESPON

Project 1.3.2

Territorial Trends Of The Management Of The Natural Heritage





- Central question: What is the influence of the managment of natural heritage on spatial development?
 - Diagnosis of the principal territorial trends of natural heritage at EU scale
 - Cartographic picture of spatial and historic trends
 - Development of territorial indicators
- Methodology: DPSIR model = Driving Forces, Pressures, States, Impacts, Reponses
 - Fields of research: agriculture, socio economic and territorial development, infrastructure





- Findings in D&P: Agriculture Nature
 - MACRO:
 - EU policy stimulated land take for intensive use; decreased semi-natural area and biodiversity;
 - CAP reform stimulates rural development: turn of process;
 - MESO:
 - National agr. policy strongly dependent from EU policy
 - MICRO:
 - International and national agr. policy affect local/regional natural heritage.





- Findings in D&P: Socio economic and territorial development – Nature
 - MACRO:
 - No European spatial planning, except first attempt of ESDP.
 - MESO:
 - Few coherent national plans.
 - MICRO:
 - · Concentrated in local and regional initiatives.





- Findings in D&P: Infrastructure Nature
 - MACRO:
 - Wide spread accessibility as prerequisite for economic development resulting in ongoing fragmentation.
 - MESO:
 - Facilities for mobility follow urbanisation and enhance further suburbanisation, causing pressure on valuable landscapes.
 - MICRO:
 - Main infrastructure causes fragmentation of semi-natural areas.
 - Improved accessibility at the cost of natural values.





- Findings in States:
 - Natural heritage consists of remains of nature.
- Findings in Impacts:
 - During ages a constant decrease of species has taken place.
 - The natural heritage is very fragmented.





Fragmentation index for NUTS 3

Luxembourg: 20 – 50% of natural area is fragmented.



Main cities
 Fragmentation
 > 50 % natural area and < 10 patches / 10km2
 > 50 % natural area and 10 - 30 patches / 10km2
 > 50 % natural area and >30 10 patches / 10km2
 20 - 50 % natural area and < 10 patches / 10km2
 20 - 50 % natural area and >30 10 patches / 10km2
 20 - 50 % natural area and >30 10 patches / 10km2
 < 20 % natural area and >0 patches / 10km2
 < 20 % natural area and >0 patches / 10km2
 < 20 % natural area and >30 10 patches / 10km2
 < 20 % natural area and >30 10 patches / 10km2
 < 20 % natural area and >30 10 patches / 10km2
 < 20 % natural area and >30 10 patches / 10km2
 < 20 % natural area and >30 10 patches / 10km2
 < 20 % natural area and >30 10 patches / 10km2

© EuroGeographics Association for the administratiive boundaries Sources: IUCN, Eurostat, ESPON Data Base, EEA





Percentage semi-natural area compared to urban pressure

Luxembourg:

High urban pressure on relatively high % of natural area cover mainly consisting of agricultural areas and forests.





© EuroGeographics Association for the administrative boundaries Origin of data: EEA, Eurostat, IUCN Source: ESPON Database





- Findings in Policy responses
 - MACRO:
 - Environmental legislation;
 - Birds/Habitat directive, Natura 2000, ESDP;
 - MESO:
 - Few integral national spatial plans;
 - Growing acknowledgement of importance of integrated plans;
 - MICRO:
 - Growing need for integrated regional strategic coordination.





- Policy recommendations (sample):
 - Balanced development in corridors
 - Polycentric development in nodes
 - Selective accessibility
 - Priority to old industrial areas
 - International co-ordination
 - Regional development vision
 - Natural values as an asset
 - Etc.





- Further research issues
 - Complete dataset for trend analyses.
 - Completion and further improvement of used indicators.
 - Identification of potential conflict areas, urban development ecological network.
 - Nature as an asset for attracting economic activities.







ESPON

Project 1.2.2

Telecommunication Services and Networks: Territorial Trends and Basic Supply Of Infrastructure For Territorial Cohesion





Main task:

- Uncover, draw together and analyse the data on the supply of and demand for telecommunications.
- Focus of the study is on the *infrastructure* of the information society.





- Methodology:
 - Collecting and analysing quantitative data which was or could be made comparable at various territorial levels.
 - Main data source: study of the household penetration of telecommunications in EU15 at the sub-national level.
 - Reanalysis of the data using socio-economic-geographic categories in order to try and better understand the factors behind differential patterns of telecoms penetration (EU15): Comparison of the uptake levels of regions for telecommunication with
 - their developmental status (Objective 1 and non-Objective 1),
 - their level of GDP,
 - their population density and
 - their degree of urbanisation, and core-periphery location (Pentagon vs non-Pentagon).





- Results at macro level:
 - North-south divide (strength of the Nordic countries which lead the way in the uptake of almost all technologies)
 - West-east divide
 - The European 'core-periphery' distinction (which is apparent across many socio-economic indicators) does not hold for telecommunications.
 - Strength of the 'Nordic periphery',
 - In mobile telephony, the 'Mediterranean' periphery outpaces the 'core'
 - Core only leads is in access to Internet backbone networks for large corporate users and Internet Service Providers.





Typology of levels of households telecommunications uptake

Luxembourg: The level of telecommunications development is moderately high.





Results for Luxembourg:

- Very high penetration rates for
 - fixed (land) lines
 - cell phones
 - number of PCs
 - internet connections
 - broadband availability
- Luxembourg is the only central European country with such high rates.




Telecommunication Services and Networks

- Results for Luxembourg:
 - High broadband availability but low broadband usage (BE and NL show high broadband uptake)

Table 5.11: LUXEMBOURG: Extent of 'spread' of regional differences in telecommunications uptake*

	Category 1 (high)	Category 2	Category 3	Category 4	Category 5	Category 6 (low)
Fixed line						
Mobile		_				
PC						
Internet						
Broadband						

*Luxembourg is national level at NUTS 2

Source: CURDS; based on data drawn from INRA (2004)





Telecommunication Services and Networks

Policy Issues (focus on infrastructure):

Table 6.1: Outline of national government supply-side strategies to increase coverage of broadband in under-served areas through infrastructure investment in EU15

Country	Specific supply- side strategy? Yes (✓) No (X)	Nature of supply-side intervention strategy for under-served areas	Examples of funding and implementation mechanisms
Luxembourg	V	Government is looking at ways of extending connectivity to 6-8% of households which do not have possibility of connecting to broadband	Exploring satellite and UMTS. Use of SF possible







ESPON

Project 1.2.1

Territorial Impact Of EU Transport And TEN Policies





• Three main questions:

- How may the transport network constitute a key factor of a more balanced, more polycentric, more sustainable spatial development?
- How to develop the accessibility to basic services and to knowledge in order to increase the territorial cohesion?
- What will be the consequences of enlargement on the preceding objectives?
- Methodology :
 - combination of state-of-the-art and newly developed methodologies





General results:

- transport is facilitating social and economic relations
- transport is generating environmental externalities that reduce and constrain the capability of a given region to attract new activities, as well as to some extent the productivity of the already existing activities
- accessibility: the classical centre-periphery scheme could not be denied
- the areas presenting a good accessibility seem to be those which suffer the most from transport externalities





Results for Luxembourg:

- Luxembourg is one of the most accessible regions of Europe, regarding multimodal accessibility it belongs to the above average accessible regions.
- While motorway density is one of the highest, railway density is of medium value.
- Luxembourg is situated at one of the main corridors for freight traffic both on road and on rail, but it has no maritime outlet.





Density of motorways and expressways by population

> Luxembourg: shows sufficient motorway and expressway network infrastructure according to its inhabitants.





The content of this presentation does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the ESPON Monitoring Committee

more than 180

Rail density

Luxembourg: The density of rail lines by population is of medium value.





Potential accessibility, multimodal

Luxembourg belongs to the regions with clearly above average accessibility.



Accessibility (ESPON Space = 100) 0 < 20 20 < 40 40 < 60 60 < 80 30 < 100 100 < 120 120 < 140 140 < 160 160 < 180 160 < 50





Freight traffic

Luxembourg is situated at one of the main corridors for freight traffic both on road and on rail.







- Policy recommendations
 - correction of imbalances
 - develop short and medium-term policies to adapt the system to transport and infrastructure demand
 - reduce emissions
 - increase of intermodality to facilitate a modal shift
 - speed limit on roads
 - transformation of classical railways into freight-dedicated lines





Policy recommendations for macro-regions:

Central Area / The Pentagon

Enable modal shift

The central area is suffering from road transport to a very high degree.

Enable European integration

The central area is an important territory in the ongoing EU enlargement and integration process.





Comment:

- The Integrative Transport and Development Concept (Integratives Verkehrs- und Entwicklungskonzept – IVL, 2004) of Luxembourg is one of the current national planning documents regarding transport, infrastructure and trends.
- Some of the aspects mentioned by project 1.2.1 concerning Luxembourg are already included in the concept.







ESPON

Project 2.1.4

Territorial Trends of Energy Services and Networks and Territorial Impact of the EU Policy





- Main tasks:
 - Analyse the territorial trends of energy supply & demand and their spatial pattern,
 - Design and carry out a territory impact analysis of the energy policy, seeking to quantify impacts from energy-related spatial development
 - Define a typology of regions in terms of infrastructures and energy services, with reference to the database and processing techniques.
 - Identify options relevant to the energy policy and submit proposals to make them operational and ensure their territorial diversification.





- Results:
 - 5 types of energy territorial impacts
 - Direct employment and GDP
 - Location and competitiveness factor
 - Income Transfer
 - Households behaviour and quality of life
 - Environment
 - Inverse relation between development and the intensity of economic uses of energy. Higher levels of development mean a higher proportion of services and higher energy efficiency. This is not the case in Norway, Finland and Luxembourg!





Results:

- In 2000 the EU15 average energy supply per inhabitant was 3.97 toe. Countries such as Luxembourg, Finland, Sweden and Belgium are way above that average, due mainly to their small population (especially Luxembourg) and their extreme climatic conditions. Additionally the industry in Luxembourg is electricity intensive.
- Final energy consumption per capita reflects the structure of the economy and the energetic efficiency of the industrial equipment and buildings. In 2000 the Scandinavian countries, as well as Luxembourg, have the highest per capita energy consumptions the project's country sample.





OBSERVATION NETWORK

- Results:
 - Reliable supplies of energy: Average load factor
 - average percentage of generating capacity used
 - Luxembourg is an exceptional case with a low value for this indicator.

Figure 40 - (B1) Average load factor in 1995 and 1999



Results:

High proportion of electricity generated by renewables

Figure 44 - (B2) Proportion of electricity generated by renewable in 1995 and 2000







- Results:
 - Electricity consumption and electricity prices



Figure 61 - Electricity consumption and electricity prices in EU countries in 1999

Source: Eurostat.





- Country Energy Policy Guidelines (Luxembourg):
 - Luxembourg is one of the smallest EU-25 countries.
 - Energy consumption per inhabitant is high because of country's iron and steel industry, the large sales of transport fuel and the overall wealth of the country.
 - Domestic energy resources are limited to renewable energies and the country has a dependence of over 99% on imported energy.
 - Luxembourg's energy markets are greatly influenced by the energy policies and energy markets of surrounding countries.
 - The Kyoto target is of 28% reduction in greenhouse gases emissions to Luxembourg, to 1990 levels by 2008-2012.





Specific Features:

- Some municipalities are directly engaged in electricity and natural gas distribution activities.
- Energy efficiency has been seriously taken since 1993 supported by a series of decrees and energy taxes.
- The restructuring of iron and steel industry led to a sharp reduction in CO₂ emissions and other pollutant emissions in Luxemburg.





- Policy Recommendations for Luxembourg:
 - Continue to cooperate with neighbouring countries on energy issues namely in electricity and gas liberalization.
 - Follow closely the programmes on promotion of energy efficiency in buildings.
 - Develop and implement a concrete climate change mitigation plan towards Kyoto Commitments.
 - Continue to seek solutions in regional level (with neighbouring countries) to reduce energy consumption in the transport sector.







Kaffeepause - Coffeebreak







Outlook Next ESPON Rounds





Outlook – next ESPON rounds

- ESPON Project 3.4.1 EUROPE IN THE WORLD (2004-06)
 - Comparisons of Europe with other world regions:
 - Europe's importance (weight) in the world (key indicators on population, GDP, etc.), Population structure and migration, Spatial structures and developments, Economic structures and performance, Environment
 - Analyses of linkages, exchanges and networks between Europe and other parts of the world along the following dimensions:
 - Trade relation, Migration, Connections and passengers in air transport, Foreign direct investments, Foreign owned enterprises; Of special importance is the embedding of Europe into the geographical neighbourhood (Neighbours to the east, North Africa, Middle East)
 - Identification of functional influence areas (states/towns which are more connected to Europe according to flows than others)





Outlook – next ESPON rounds

- Project 1.2.3 IDENTIFICATION OF SPATIALLY RELEVANT ASPECTS OF THE INFORMATION SOCIETY (2005-06)
 - State, trends and spatial impacts of the information society
 - Explore effects on the development of rural and peripheral areas (mountain areas, islands and sparsely populated areas)
 - Identification of correlations between ICTs development and Functional Urban Areas (FUA's)
 - Identification of the contribution of the Information Society to the relocation of economic activities (industry and services) and households, as well as, to reduce transport demand and traffic congestion
 - Examination of territorial typologies with reference to the possible positive and negative territorial effects of the information society





Outlook – next ESPON rounds

- Project 3.4.2 TERRITORIAL IMPACTS OF EU ECONOMIC
 POLICIES AND LOCATION OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES (2005-06)
 - Measuring regional economic development as an aggregate, including economic potentials, regional competitiveness, territorial capital, services of general interest, trade flows
 - Identifying the spatial pattern of company/investment locations
 - Identifying economic potentials of different types of regions
 - Identifying key policy measures that have impact on these patterns.
 - Market and Competition Policies and the conditions created by national, regional and local actors in order to attract companies/investments to a particular location
 - Integration of the results on territorial effects of both economic trends and policy impacts to characterise the territorial diversity and dynamics in Europe





Basic results

- Strong guidance for the other projects was provided
- A solid base for spatial planning on an EU level was developed: Data, Mapping defaults etc.
- Some very innovative tools were invented and tested
- Some new and innovative analysis strategies were established







Thank you for your attention!













ESPON Spatial Concepts (I)

- Spatial concepts relevant for ESPON:
 - Territorial Cohesion
 - Economic and Social Cohesion
 - Polycentrism
 - Functional Urban Areas
 - Global Integration Zones
 - Accessibility
 - Rural-urban (relations)
 - Environment





ESPON Spatial Concepts (II)

- Territorial Cohesion
- Strong relation to:
 - equity and balance, reducing disparities, supporting the weaker parts of the European territory
 - support for geographically handicapped regions (e.g. islands, mountain areas etc.)
 - strengthen regional integration and communication, exchange, cooperation and flows between territories





ESPON Spatial Concepts (III)

- Economic, social (and territorial) cohesion aim at reducing structural disparities between regions and promoting equal opportunities for all individuals.
- The focuses are different:
 - economic cohesion targets the intermediate level of economic actors (e.g. enterprises) and institutional settings (e.g. competition rules)
 - social cohesion targets the individual level of persons and households and the avoidance of poverty, unemployment etc.
 - territorial cohesion refers to the regional aggregate and regional context of social and economic cohesion. Territory is a crucial context for individual behaviour and decisions.





ESPON Spatial Concepts (VII)

- Global Integration Zones
- "Centres of Europe": location, wealth, function
 - Pentagon
 - EU15+2 vs. AC10+2
 - enlarged Pentagon
 - additional global economic integration zones (GEIZ) (outside the Pentagon or the enlarged Pentagon?)
 - Spain, South Italy, Central Eastern Europe
 - development axe Pentagon -> Black Sea
 - transport hubs (centres in networks)
 - air ports (London, Paris, Frankfurt, Madrid, Lisbon)
 - sea ports (Rotterdam)
 - favourable regions (e.g. coastal zones)
 - pockets of good performance (e.g. "R&D regions")
 - capital and metropolitan areas
 - hierarchical roll-out patterns (e.g. ASDL)




ESPON Spatial Concepts (VIII)

- Accessibility
- New corridors and regional enlargement
 - regional enlargement and new development corridors are seen as chances but also have some severe problems:
 - regional enlargement will create new transport corridors and increase private car use with the effect of rurbanisation and environmental and cultural losses
 - land use ("share of artificial surface / GDP") already now very high in AC12; will further increase and effect urban sprawl, rurbanisation and environmental problems
 - traffic loads in some corridors are 10x higher than in others
 - significant traffic growth in DE, AU, (NE-)IT
 - transport flows 2000-2020: +43%



